SUBJECT INDEX

Page

TABLE OF CITATIONS ii

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND STATEMENT OF THE FACTS 2

QUESTIONS PRESENTED 4

ARGUMENT .....

I. THE CIRCUIT COURT PROPERLY SUSTAINED THE COUNTY'S DEMURRER BECAUSE THE AMENDED COMPLAINT FAILED TO STATE A CAUSE OF ACTION 5

II. THE CIRCUIT COURT PROPERLY CONCLUDED THAT COUNTY CODE § 82-5-32(e) DOES NOT VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE OF THE UNITED STATES OR VIRGINIA CONSTITUTIONS BECAUSE IT IS RATIONALLY RELATED TO LEGITIMATE GOVERNMENTAL PURPOSES 8

A. The Tow Companies' Amended Complaint Failed To Name An Improper Classification Or Articulate Harms That Rebut The Ordinance's Strong Presumption Of Constitutionality 10

B. The Ordinance Survives A Constitutional Challenge Even At Demurrer Because It Is Conceivable That The Ordinance Is Rationally Related To Legitimate Governmental Interests 12

III. THE TRIAL COURT PROPERLY CONCLUDED THAT COUNTY CODE § 82-5-32(e) DOES NOT VIOLATE THE DILLON RULE 19

Page

CONCLUSION 24

CERTIFICATE 25